

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : F42D 5/045	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/31457
		(43) International Publication Date: 24 June 1999 (24.06.99)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/CA98/01163

(22) International Filing Date: 11 December 1998 (11.12.98)

(30) Priority Data:
60/069,533 12 December 1997 (12.12.97) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF CANADA as represented by THE SOLICITOR GENERAL ACTING THROUGH THE COMMISSIONER OF THE ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE [CA/CA]; 1200 Vanier Parkway, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R2 (CA).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): BUREAUX, John, G. [CA/CA]; 594 Pocomo Crescent, Orlenas, Ontario K4A 3J6 (CA). COWAN, George [CA/CA]; R. R. #1, Burnstown, Ontario K0J 1G0 (CA). MOUNTAIN, Patricia [CA/CA]; 595 Lakeview Road, Fort Erie, Ontario L2A 4W8 (CA). EATON, Douglas [CA/CA]; 20 Viewpointe Pvt., Ottawa, Ontario K1V 1M5 (CA). CORBIN, Christopher [CA/CA]; 7 Gerrard Street, St. Catharines, Ontario L2R 5X8 (CA).


(74) Agent: ANDERSON, J., Wayne; National Research Council of Canada, Intellectual Property Services, EG-10, Building M-58, Montreal Road, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R6 (CA).

(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published

With international search report.

(54) Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR BLAST SUPPRESSION



(57) Abstract

The invention disclosed is an apparatus for explosive blast suppression, and a method therefor, the apparatus comprising a hemispherical enclosure (10) defined by an upstanding wall, positioning means (42) associated with the enclosure, for positioning the explosive device substantially equidistant from any point on the wall, and in a preferred embodiment includes an integral floor (40) and a rounded lower wall, to provide a substantially even distribution of blast forces in all directions toward the wall. The enclosure is made of composite textile material, comprising one or several layers of a ballistic material (e.g. Dyneema Kevlar) sandwiched between inner and outer layers of a light-weight rip-stop nylon fabric material.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR BLAST SUPPRESSION

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 This invention relates to explosive blast suppression, and to an apparatus and method for use therefor.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PRIOR ART

10 The use of aqueous foam enclosed in various barrier structures has been employed in the prior art with mixed success. Two related relevant references are, U.S. Patents 5,225,622 and 5,394,786. Both references describe a foam-filled enclosure for explosive blast suppression. Figure 10 of the first patent illustrates a dome-shaped enclosure. It is noted that the
15 diameter of the dome and hence the volume of the enclosure is quite large, i.e. of the order of 12 ft. and is thus inefficient and unnecessarily bulky. Moreover the foam expansion ratio is quite high i.e. 135-1000:1. This causes instability and early breakdown of the foam.

20 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

 When a blast occurs under aqueous foam, as each bubble bursts, there is an incremental loss of the blast overpressure energy, the net effect of millions of bubbles being destroyed represents a significant blast reduction.
25 Working from the premise that the suppressant quality of the foam would be a function of the mechanical generation and strength of the bubble we have found that superior blast suppression can be achieved by significantly reducing the size of the dome shaped enclosure and the amount of foam material, and by employing a selected aqueous high stability flowable foam
30 material having a low expansion ratio, and low drainage rate properties.

 According to one aspect of the invention, an apparatus is provided for suppression of a blast from an explosive device, comprising

- a) a hemispherical enclosure defined by an upstanding wall,
- b) positioning means associated with the enclosure, for positioning the explosive device within the enclosure substantially equidistant from any point on the wall, and
- c) an opening in the wall for receiving an aqueous flowable energy absorbing foam material, having an expansion ratio of 17-49 : 1, substantially filling said enclosure and covering the device, whereby upon detonation of the explosive device so positioned, the blast is suppressed.

According to another aspect of the invention, a method is provided for suppression of a blast from an explosive device, comprising

- a) providing a hemispherical enclosure defined by an upstanding wall,
- b) positioning the explosive device within the enclosure, substantially equidistant from any point on the wall, and
- c) substantially filling the enclosure and covering the device, with an aqueous energy absorbing flowable foam material having an expansion ratio of 17-49 : 1, and whereby upon detonation of the device so positioned, the blast is suppressed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a perspective view of a hemispherical enclosure according to the invention;

Figure 2 is a perspective view of a hemispherical enclosure according to the invention, cut away in part to illustrate the cross-section of the composite material from which it is constructed; and

Figure 3 is a perspective view of an apparatus according to the invention.

5 DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

As seen in Figures 1 and 2, the hemispherical enclosure 10 is defined by an upstanding wall, and as having a diameter of 5-9 ft. It will be appreciated that other enclosure sizes, particularly smaller sizes, are within the scope of this invention.

Foam injection openings 12 are provided near the top of the enclosure, and a door opening 14 is provided at the base. An optional opening 16 is provided near the base for foam injection, or to remove noxious gases resulting from a low energy device when no foam is used. For such cases a filter/pump device could be used. All of the openings include a sealing flap (figure 3) and the opening 16 includes a zipper or other suitable closure means, to prevent foam leakage and escape of shrapnel or noxious gases.

As seen in Figure 2, the enclosure 10 is made of a composite textile material, including a central layer 20 of a ballistic fabric material (one such material is sold under the trademark Dyneema), sandwiched between inner and outer layers 22 of a light-weight rip-stop nylon fabric material. Other useful ballistic materials include Kevlar™ or equivalents. The materials are sewn together in sections. In some embodiments this layer can be eliminated or several layers of the ballistic fabric material are provided ie. depending upon the threat to be addressed. Weight is also a consideration. For example, a 7 foot diameter enclosure with three ballistic layers weights 30 pounds, which is the practical limit for a man dressed in a bulky bomb suit to carry any appreciable distance. A five foot enclosure with four ballistic layers would also met this criterion.

In some cases, instead of the extra ballistic layers, a hemispherical fly (not shown) is added as an overlay to enclose the structure. The fly is made of the same multi-ply textile material as the enclosure. Additional flies can be

added, depending on the nature/energy of the explosive device, to ensure containment of the resulting shrapnel. The extra ballistic layers are preferred, since the flies add to setup time and effort.

5 Figure 3 illustrates an embodiment of the apparatus which employs a flexible external frame 32, which will flex to pass through openings, such as doorways, which are made smaller than its diameter.

10 In the embodiment shown, the frame 32 includes three semi-circular hoop-like pole members which are disposed in a criss-cross manner and spaced equidistant from each other to form the frame. This arrangement facilitates the positioning of the apparatus without modification, by a robot arm or the like. The poles are made of fibreglass to avoid shrapnel formation, but could be made of other flexible light-weight material or could be integral air
15 tubes.

 The enclosure is made of a composite textile material similar to that of the figure 1 embodiment, the difference being that the inner and outer layers are of a waterproof nylon textile material.

20

 The outer layer of the enclosure 10 includes a plurality of tab portions 34 for attachment to the frame 32. Wider tabs 36 are provided adjacent the top for added strength at this location. Although the tabs are formed as loops in the embodiment shown, it will be appreciated that other known attachment means
25 could be used. In this embodiment the enclosure 10, includes six identical triangular panels 13, and an integral hexagonal floor 40 which approximates a circle. Foam injection openings 12 are provided in alternate panels. Closure flaps 15 of the same composite material as the panels are also provided. The flaps are secured e.g. by Velcro® fasteners. The floor 40 includes positioning
30 means in the form of a central opening 42 for positioning an explosive device, substantially equidistant from any point on the enclosure wall. The integral floor

ensures that there are no weak spots or corners, which have been known in the prior art to fail.

Also in this embodiment, the door opening 14 is provided in one of the panels, and includes a large zipper closure means to facilitate operation by gloved hands.

Upon filling with foam the enclosure inflates to form a hemispherical shape, with the explosive device positioned substantially equidistant from any point on the enclosure wall. The enclosure wall is rounded adjacent to the floor, having a flattening effect on the enclosure shape. This positioning and rounded enclosure wall provide for optimum distribution of the blast force in all directions toward the enclosure wall, providing for the successful integration of various blast scenarios, as described below.

15

In fact, the combination of these two features has proven capable of withstanding around twice the explosive force, as compared to the figure 1 embodiment. See tests # 3 and 4.

20

The method according to the invention comprises placing the enclosure 10 over an improvised explosive device (IED) at 30, and the enclosure is filled with a suitable aqueous energy absorbing, flowable foam material (e.g. Silvex®). See US patent no. 4,770,794 of 13 September 1988, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference. Useful foams comprise 1-5 %/w of active foam forming ingredients. We have found that a particularly useful foam material of this nature comprises 1-3%/w of active foam forming ingredients, the balance being water, and has an expansion ratio of 17-49:1. Such foams exhibit good stability and drainage properties and can be used in relatively small amounts as indicated in the Examples which follow. The foam is introduced into the enclosure at a flow rate of 40-80 US gallons/minute, preferably 40-60 US gallons/minute through filling port 12, using a standard foam generating fire truck, or a portable pump and foam

25

30

generating system. The flow rate is expressed as flow rate of water into a foam generator. The flow of foam into the enclosure is actually about 2-3 times faster, because of the larger volume of the foam. When the IED is detonated, none of the resulting IED fragments penetrate the enclosure.

- 5 Apparently, the lines of force from the explosion are directed radially outwardly from the IED and the force or energy from the blast is absorbed by the surrounding foam. The smooth concave shape of the enclosure which acts as a mold for the foam, and/or the corresponding convex shape of the foam also plays a role, since other configurations tested such as cubes,
10 rectangles and cylinders fail at the corners.

Other inessential features include the following.

- The provision of an integral tent floor (figure 3) with a central IED receiving opening would prevent the foam from flowing out around the bottom. Preferably, the fabric surrounding the central opening is made more
15 flexible by the inclusion of an elasticized retainer which forms oversized gores 44. This minimizes blast damage to the floor. In another embodiment (not shown) the floor would be made of a net material.

- An internal frame (not shown) or an external exoskeleton (figure 3) could be included to facilitate erection and maintain the structural integrity of
20 the dome following the explosion. It will be appreciated that the dome can be erected by filling with the foam.

EXAMPLES

- 25 For the explosive device tested, not only is the blast suppressed, but the shrapnel from the blast is contained within the structure.

Testing of the Explosive Device Containment System

- This Explosive Device Containment system is a 7 ft hemispherical
30 shaped enclosure filled with foam (approx. 570 cubic feet). The enclosure is fabricated with a 3 layer textile composite. The outside and inside layers are a light rip-stop nylon and the inside layer is a ballistic product called

DYNEEMA®. Depending upon the threat, additional ballistic layers and/or
flies are provided, as described above. The foam is generated using an air
aspirating foam nozzle (cylindrical, length=25 cm, diameter=15cm) with an
expansion ratio of about 25:1 with an operating pressure of about 70 PSI and
5 a flow rate of 57-60 US gallons of foam solution/minute. The foam
concentrate comprises about 1.7%/w Silvex® in water. The nozzle is the
subject of our co-pending US application, Serial No. 08/758,075, filed 27
November 1996.

10 Test Objective:

To establish the explosive blast and explosive fragment mitigation
qualities of the Explosive Device Containment System.

Test #s 1 and 2 were done with the figure 1,2 embodiment, and tests
15 #s 3 and 4 with the figure 3 embodiment. Also, in test #3, the composite
included five ballistic layers. In test #4, two additional flies were included.

Test #1

Explosive Device:

20 Pipe bomb constructed of a 12"x2 1/2" diameter steel pipe with end
caps threaded on both ends containing approximately 1.5 lbs.. of dynamite
initiated by a standard electric blasting cap. The multi layer composite, plus
two additional flies, is used in this test.

25 Results:

After the pipe bomb was functioned none of the pipe fragments were
found to have penetrated the enclosure. This was very significant as it
confirmed this technique was effective in containing a very energetic
explosive device, the fragments from the type of explosive device can be
30 projected at velocities in the order of 5000-7000 feet/sec. and up to 200 to
300 yards.

Test #2:

Explosive Device:

Steel tool box (approx. 18"x10"x8") containing 2.2 lbs. of C-4 explosive initiated by a .2 lbs. Initiation charge. The five layer composite is used in this test.

Results:

After the device was functioned an examination of the enclosure revealed that all fragmentation from this device was contained in the system. This is quite significant as it confirms that this system is very effective in neutralizing the very energetic effects of large and destructive explosive devices.

Test #3

Explosive Device:

Pipe bomb constructed of a 12"x21/2" diameter steel pipe with end caps threaded on both ends containing approximately 1.5 lbs. of dynamite initiated by a standard electric blasting cap. The multi layer composite, plus two additional flys, is used in this test.

Results:

After the pipe bomb was functioned none of the pipe fragments were found to have penetrated the enclosure. This was very significant as it confirmed this technique was effective in containing a very energetic explosive device, the fragments from the type of explosive device can be projected at velocities in the order of 5000-7000 feet/sec. and up to 200 to 300 yards.

Test #4:

Explosive Device:

Steel tool box (approx. 18"x10"x8") containing 2.2 lb. of C-4 explosive initiated by a .2 lbs initiation charge. A five layer composite is used in this test.

Results:

After the device was functioned an examination of the enclosure revealed that all fragmentation from this device was contained in the system. This is quite significant as it confirms that this system is very effective in neutralizing the very energetic effects of large and destructive explosive devices.

General Comments:

Both these devices represent examples of very energetic explosive devices. These devices can result in the projection of high velocity fragments causing considerable injuries and property damage. This system could be used by both police and military Explosive Ordnance Units. It is a portable system that can be positioned in a very short time.

Although Silvex has been used to illustrate the operation of our invention, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that many other foam materials may also be used, including those containing biological/chemical decontaminating agents, provided that they are formulated to exhibit the requisite expansion ratio and other related properties discussed above.

20

25

30

WE CLAIM;

1. An apparatus for suppression of a blast from an explosive device, comprising

5

a) a hemispherical enclosure defined by an upstanding wall ,

10

b) positioning means associated with the enclosure for positioning the explosive device within the enclosure substantially equidistant from any point on the wall, and

15

c) an opening in the wall, for receiving an aqueous energy absorbing flowable foam material having an expansion ratio of 17-49 : 1, substantially filling the enclosure and covering the explosive device, whereby upon detonation of the explosive device so positioned, the blast is suppressed.

20

2. Apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the enclosure is inflatable, whereby upon filling the enclosure is inflated by the foam.

3. Apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the enclosure includes an integral floor, and wherein the positioning means comprises a central opening in the floor.

25

4. Apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the diameter of the enclosure is 5-9 feet.

30

5. Apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the volume of the enclosure is about 2570 ft³.

6. Apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the foam material comprises 1-5 % w/v of active foam forming ingredients, the balance being water.

7. Apparatus according to Claim 6, wherein the expansion ratio of the foam material is about 25 : 1 .
- 5 8. Apparatus according to Claim 7, wherein the foam material comprises 1.7 % w/v of active foam forming ingredients, the balance being water.
9. Apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the enclosure is made of a composite textile material, comprising a layer of a ballistic fabric material, sandwiched between inner and outer layers of a light-weight rip-stop nylon fabric material.
- 10 10. Apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the enclosure is made of a composite textile material, comprising several layers of a ballistic fabric material, sandwiched between inner and outer layers of a light-weight rip-stop nylon fabric material.
- 15 11. A method for suppression of a blast from an explosive device, comprising
- 20 a) providing a hemispherical enclosure defined by an upstanding wall,
- b) positioning the explosive device within the enclosure, substantially equidistant from any point on the wall, and
- 25 c) substantially filling the enclosure and covering the device with an aqueous energy absorbing flowable foam material having an expansion ratio of 17-49 : 1, whereby upon detonation of the device so positioned, the
- 30 blast is suppressed.

12. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the enclosure is inflatable, whereby upon filling the enclosure is inflated with the foam.
13. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the enclosure includes an integral floor with a central opening, and wherein the explosive device is positioned in said opening.
14. A method according to Claim 13, wherein the foam material comprises 1-5 % w/v of active foam forming ingredients, the balance being water.
15. A method according to Claim 14, wherein the expansion ratio of the foam material is about 25 : 1 .
16. A method according to Claim 15, wherein the foam material comprises about 1.7 % w/v of active foam forming ingredients, the balance being water.
17. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the enclosure is made of a composite textile material comprising a layer of a ballistic fabric material, sandwiched between outer and inner layers of a waterproof nylon fabric material.
18. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the enclosure is made of a composite textile material comprising several layers of a ballistic fabric material, sandwiched between inner and outer layers of a waterproof nylon fabric material.
19. An apparatus according to Claim 3, wherein the enclosure is inflatable and wherein upon inflation the enclosure wall is rounded adjacent to the integral floor.

20. A method according to Claim 13, wherein the enclosure is inflatable, and wherein upon inflation the enclosure wall is rounded adjacent to the integral floor.

5

10

15

20

25

30

1/2




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

2/2




Fig. 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Application No
PCT/98/01163

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 F42D5/045

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 F42D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 4 392 412 A (SCHMIDT EDWARD M ET AL) 12 July 1983 see abstract; figure 12 see column 1, line 37 - line 42 see column 3, line 12 - line 17 see column 5, line 25 - column 6, line 2	1-4, 6-8, 11-16
A	---	19, 20
Y	US 4 543 872 A (GRAHAM KENNETH J ET AL) 1 October 1985 see abstract; figures 2, 3 see column 5, line 11 - line 14	1-4, 11-13
Y	US 4 589 341 A (CLARK CHARLES J ET AL) 20 May 1986 see abstract; claim 2 see column 6, line 52 - line 63	6-8, 14-16
A	---	1
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "Z" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

24 March 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

01/04/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Schwingel, D

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In: International Application No.

PCT/CA 98/01163

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 4 964 329 A (MOXON NEVILLE T ET AL) 23 October 1990 see abstract see column 2, line 57 - line 67 ---	1,7,11, 12,15
A	EP 0 725 260 A (AKZO NOBEL NV) 7 August 1996 see claims 1,4; figures 1,2 ---	9,10,17, 18
A	US 5 044 252 A (GAMADI ZWI ET AL) 3 September 1991 see claim 3; figure 3 see column 1, line 42 - line 55 ---	9,10,17, 18
A	US 3 814 016 A (FASH W ET AL) 4 June 1974 see figures 3,4 see column 4, line 4 - line 11 see column 4, line 37 - line 45 see column 4, line 54 - line 59 ---	9,10,17, 18
A	US 5 471 906 A (BACHNER JR THOMAS E ET AL) 5 December 1995 see abstract; figure 5 see column 3, line 37 - line 47 see column 4, line 30 - line 38 ---	9,10,17, 18
A	US 5 060 314 A (LEWIS MICHAEL L) 29 October 1991 see column 1, line 14 - line 19 see column 1, line 29 - line 38 ---	9,10,17, 18
E	WO 98 56465 A (US GOVERNMENT) 17 December 1998 see claims; figures & US 5 864 767 A (DRUMGOOLE WILLIAM J ET AL) 26 January 1999 -----	1-3, 11-13

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Int Application No

PCT/CA 98/01163

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4392412	A	12-07-1983	NONE	
US 4543872	A	01-10-1985	NONE	
US 4589341	A	20-05-1986	EP 0204863 A	17-12-1986
US 4964329	A	23-10-1990	AU 598064 B	14-06-1990
			AU 8160687 A	26-05-1988
			GB 2199869 A, B	20-07-1988
EP 0725260	A	07-08-1996	AT 171779 T	15-10-1998
			DE 59600607 D	05-11-1998
			ES 2122727 T	16-12-1998
US 5044252	A	03-09-1991	DE 3919785 A	21-12-1989
			GB 2219847 A, B	20-12-1989
US 3814016	A	04-06-1974	NONE	
US 5471906	A	05-12-1995	AU 674978 B	16-01-1997
			AU 7135894 A	04-05-1995
			CA 2173971 A, C	20-04-1995
			DE 69410478 D	25-06-1998
			DE 69410478 T	14-01-1999
			DE 723647 T	13-03-1997
			EP 0723647 A	31-07-1996
			GB 2290698 A, B	10-01-1996
			JP 9503852 T	15-04-1997
			WO 9510749 A	20-04-1995
US 5060314	A	29-10-1991	NONE	
WO 9856465	A	17-12-1998	US 5864767 A	26-01-1999
			AU 7490998 A	30-12-1998